From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

BARTELS UND PARTNER Patentanwälte
Lange Strasse 51
70174 Stuttgart
ALLEMAGNE

Bartels und Partner
Patentanwälte
Eingegangen:
Received: 02. NOV. 2006

TERMIN

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
26 October 2006 (26.10.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 40cdh/229251

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014051

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International filing date (day/month/year)
10 December 2004 (10.12.2004)

Applicant

HYDAC TECHNOLOGY GMBH et al

1. Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I).

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

None .

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Agnes Wittmann-Regis

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

02. Nov. 200'

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 40cdh/229251	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) 10 December 2004 (10.12.2004)		Priority date (day/month/year) 16 January 2004 (16.01.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form P	edition unless older edition indicated) CT/ISA/237		
Applicant HYDAC TECHNOLOGY GMBH			
•			
In the attached sheets, any reference to the international preliminary	al of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet. Tence to the written opinion of the International Search on patentability (Chapter I) instead.	arching Authority should be read as a reference	
Box No. II Box No. II Box No. III	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to not be relating to the relating items:		
Box No. IV Box No. V	Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with reapplicability; citations and explanations support	egard to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		

The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

Certain observations on the international application

Certain defects in the international application

	Date of issuance of this report 18 October 2006 (18.10.2006)	
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Agnes Wittmann-Regis	
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt06@wipo.int	

Box No. VII

Box No. VIII

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: **PCT** WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) See Form PCT/ISA/210 Date of mailing (day/month/year) (sheet 2) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 40cdh/229251 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2004/014051 10.12.2004 16.01.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC F15B1/24, F16L55/04 Applicant HYDAC TECHNOLOGY GMBH This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Box No. VI Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer Facsimile No. Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014051

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion.
1.	With filed.	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With inven	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed tion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
	ĺ	in written format
	. [in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
	[contained in the international application as filed.
	[filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	[furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
2		
J.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additi	ional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014051

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims	2,3,6	YES		
	Claims	1,4,5,7,8	NO		
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	6	YES		
	Claims	1-8	NO		
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-8	YES		
· :	Claims		NO		
<u> </u>	•				

2. Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-B1-6 612 339 (WILKE WESTLEY J ET AL) 2 September 2003 (2003-09-02)

D2: US-A-5 363 744 (PICHLER ET AL) 15 November 1994 (1994-11-15)

D3: US-A-5 992 948 (GOWDA ET AL) 30 November 1999 (1999-11-30)

D4: EP-A-O 261 293 (SOCIETE ANONYME DITE LE JOINT FRANCAIS) 30 March 1988 (1988-03-30)

D5: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN Vol. 2000, No. 15, 6 April 2001 (2001-04-06)-& JP 2000 346002 A (NOK CORP), 12 December 2000 (2000-12-12)

- 1. D1 shows all of the features of claim 1, i.e: a piston-type accumulator 10 with a separator piston 30 axially displaceable within an accumulator housing 12, the said separator piston separating two spaces from each other inside the accumulator housing, wherein the said separator piston is composed predominantly of a synthetic material (see column 4, lines 7-9).
- 2. The other documents cited in the search report are also prejudicial to the novelty of the subject matter of

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014051

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

claim 1:

- D2: see in particular the separator piston 14 made of synthetic material (column 3, lines 16-31),
- D3: see in particular the separator piston 72 made of synthetic material (column 3, line 56 to column 4, line 38),
- D4: see in particular the separator piston 2 made of synthetic material (column 2, lines 5-32),
- D5: see in particular the separator piston 1 made of synthetic material (title and figure 1-2).
- 3. Claims 2-3 cannot be considered to involve inventive step in comparison with the piston disclosed in D1, as fibreglass reinforced synthetic materials are generally known.
- 4. The features of claims 4-5 and 7 are disclosed in D1 (see the reinforcement bars 62).
- 5. The features of claim 8 are disclosed in D5 (see the two grooves 15 in figure 1).